

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,625	9/834,625 04/16/2001		Takashi Yokota	NU-01005	3905
21254	7590	08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
		TUAL PROPER	CUFF, MICHAEL A		
8321 OLD C SUITE 200	COURTHOUS	SE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				3627	
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/834,625	YOKOTA, TAKASHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Cuff	3627		

	Michael Cuff	3627							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			nt canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2 and 3</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that there would be no motivation to combine the references. The examiner does not concur. Notice that the rejection states expanding the method of Wong, not combining. After purchasing an item, there are many obvious next steps that could naturally take place. For example, one could use the item, one could re-sell the item for a profit, one could insure the item to protect oneself, or one could file for patent rights to protect one's IP of the purchased item. All of these step are natural analogous steps to be taken after an item is purchased.

Applicant assert that there are steps performed by the trader, which the references do not show. Arguments as to who a "trader" is has already been addressed in the final office action.

Michael lift 7/31/06

MICHAEL CUFF PRIMARY EXAMINER